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#### Abstract

In this paper, we shall generalize the theory of mixed Hodge structures due to Deligne and obtain a subcategory GMHS in the category of mixed Hodge structures such that we have $\operatorname{Ext}_{\text {GMHS }}^{2}(\mathbb{Q},-) \neq 0$ in general.


## 1. Introduction

For a smooth projective scheme $X$ over $\mathbb{C}$, there exists a conjectural filtration $\mathrm{F}_{M}$ (called BBM filtration) on the Chow group $\mathrm{CH}^{r}(X, \mathbb{Q})=\mathrm{CH}^{r}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ such that we have $\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathrm{F}_{M}}^{m} \mathrm{CH}^{r}(X, \mathbb{Q})=\operatorname{Ext}_{M}^{m}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H^{2 r-m}(X)(r)\right)$. Here, $M$ is the conjectural category of mixed motives over $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$. On the other hand, by the realization functor $M \rightarrow$ MHS from the category of mixed motives to that of mixed Hodge structures, we should have

$$
\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathrm{F}_{M}}^{m} \mathrm{CH}^{r}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{MHS}}^{m}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H^{2 r-m}(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q}(r))\right) .
$$

It is well-known, however, that the higher extension group $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{MHS}}^{m}(\mathbb{Q},-)$ for $2 \leq$ $m$ always vanishes and one cannot obtain any information about the graded piece $\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathrm{F}_{M}}^{m} \mathrm{CH}^{r}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ for $2 \leq m$ by using the extension of mixed Hodge structures.

In this paper, we shall generalize the theory of mixed Hodge structures due to Deligne and obtain a subcategory GMHS in the category of mixed Hodge structures such that we have $\operatorname{Ext}_{\text {GMHS }}^{2}(\mathbb{Q},-) \neq 0$ in general. Note that M.Asakura constructs another category (called the category of arithmetic Hodge structures) and shows that the higher extension group does not vanish in this category [A]. One will see that the category GMHS is an abelian category and that there is a forgetful functor $\mathcal{F}$ to the category MHS.
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## 2. Mixed Hodge structures

2.1. Review of the classical theory. For a compact Kähler manifold $X$, Hodge shows that there exists a decomposition

$$
H^{k}(X, \mathbb{C})=\oplus_{p+q=k} H^{p, q}(X)
$$

where $H^{p, q}(X)$ is a complex subspace and satisfies the Hodge symmetry $H^{p, q}(X)=$ $\overline{H^{q, p}(X)}$ ( - denotes the complex conjugation). This leads to the following definition.

Definition 2.1. An integral Hodge structure of pure weight $k$ is a free abelian group $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ of finite type equipped with a decomposition

$$
H_{\mathbb{C}}:=H_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes \mathbb{C}=\oplus_{p+q=k} H^{p, q}
$$

where $H^{p, q}$ is a complex subspace and satisfies the symmetry $H^{p, q}=\overline{H^{q, p}}$.
Let $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ be an integral Hodge structure of pure weight $k$ and define a decreasing filtration (called Hodge Filtration) $F \cdot H_{\mathbb{C}}$ by $F^{p} H_{\mathbb{C}}=\oplus_{p \leq r} H^{r, k-r}$. This filtration satisfies $H_{\mathbb{C}}=\mathrm{F}^{p} H_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \overline{F^{k-p+1} H_{\mathbb{C}}}$ and determines the Hodge decomposition by the formula $H^{p, q}=F^{p} H_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \overline{F^{q} H_{\mathbb{C}}}$. Let HS be the category of Hodge structures of pure weights: its object is given by Hodge structure $\left(H_{\mathbb{Z}}, F \cdot H_{\mathbb{C}}\right)$ of pure weight and its morphism is given by a morphism $f: H_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\prime}$ which is compatible with the Hodge filtration $F^{\text {. }}$.

For a general separated scheme $X$ of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$, the cohomology group $H^{k}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ does not carry the Hodge structure of pure weight in general. Then, Deligne shows that there exists an increasing filtration $W$. (called weight filtration) on $H^{k}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ such that the Hodge filtration induced on $\operatorname{Gr}_{r}^{W} H^{k}(X, \mathbb{C})$ defines an integral Hodge structure of pure weight $k+r$ on $\operatorname{Gr}_{r}^{W} H^{k}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ ([D1], [D2]). This result leads to the following definition.

Definition 2.2. A mixed Hodge structure of weight $k$ is a free abelian group $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ of finite type equipped with an increasing filtration (called weight filtration) $W$. on $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and a decreasing filtration (called Hodge filtration) $F^{\cdot}$ on $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that the filtration induced by $F$ on $\mathrm{Gr}_{r}^{W} H_{\mathbb{C}}$ defines a Hodge structure of pure weight $k+r$ on $\operatorname{Gr}_{r}^{W} H_{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Let MHS be the category of mixed Hodge structures: its object is given by a mixed Hodge structure ( $H_{\mathbb{Z}}, F^{\cdot} H_{\mathbb{C}}, W . H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ ) and its morphism is given by a mor$\operatorname{phism} f: H_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\prime}$ which is compatible with filtrations $F$ and $W$.. It is known that the category MHS is an abelian category ([D1], (2.3.5)).
2.2. Generalized mixed Hodge structures. In this subsection, we shall generalize the theory of mixed Hodge structures due to Deligne. Let $U$ be a smooth and separated scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$ and $X$ be a smooth compactification
of $U$. By using subschemes on $U$ and $D=X \backslash U$, we shall introduce two structures on cohomology groups: $z$-structures and $w$-structures. These lead to the generalization of the theory of mixed Hodge structures.
2.2.1. $z$-structures on cohomology groups. For a subscheme $V$ on $U$, let $\vec{r}=$ $\left(r_{1}, \cdots, r_{l}\right)$ denote a basis of $\operatorname{Im}\left(H_{V}^{n}(U, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^{n}(U, \mathbb{Q})\right)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Choose elements $\vec{s}=\left(s_{1}, \cdots, s_{m}\right)$ of $H^{n}(U, \mathbb{Q})$ such that $\{\vec{r}, \vec{s}\}$ forms a basis of $H^{n}(U, \mathbb{Q})$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then, define an involution $z_{V, \vec{r}, \vec{s}}$ on $H^{n}(U, \mathbb{Q})$ by the formula

$$
z_{V, \vec{r}, \vec{s}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{l} a_{i} r_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{j} s_{j}\right)=-\sum_{i=1}^{l} a_{i} r_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{j} s_{j} \quad\left(a_{i}, b_{j} \in \mathbb{Q}\right) .
$$

2.2.2. $w$-structures on cohomology groups. Since we assume that $U$ is a smooth and separated scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$, it is a Zariski open set in a complete scheme $X([\mathrm{~N}])$. Furthermore, we assume that $X$ is smooth projective and that the complement $D=X \backslash U$ is a globally normal crossing divisor, that is, we have $D=\cup_{i \in I} D_{i}$ where each $D_{i} \subset X$ is a smooth hypersurface and the intersection of hypersurfaces is transverse $([\mathrm{H}])$.
Notation. For a subset $K \subset I$, put $D_{K}=\cap_{i \in K} D_{i}$ and let $D^{(k)}$ denote the disjoint union of $D_{K}$ where $K$ runs through subsets of $I$ of cardinal $k$. Set $D^{(0)}=X$.

For the weight spectral sequence ${ }_{W} E$ associated to the weight filtration $W$., we have ${ }_{W} E_{1}^{p, q} \simeq H^{2 p+q}\left(D^{(-p)}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ and its differential $d_{1}$ is given by

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
H^{2 p+q}\left(D^{(-p)}, \mathbb{C}\right) & \xrightarrow{d_{1}} \quad H^{2 p+q+2}\left(D^{(-p-1)}, \mathbb{C}\right)  \tag{2.1}\\
\| & \| \\
\|_{|K|=-p} H^{2 p+q}\left(D_{K}, \mathbb{C}\right) \xrightarrow{d_{1}} \bigoplus_{|L|=-p-1} H^{2 p+q+2}\left(D_{L}, \mathbb{C}\right)
\end{array}
$$

where $d_{1}$ has the component $d_{1 K}^{L}$ equal to zero for $L \not \subset K$ and equal to $(-1)^{q+s} j_{K *}^{L}$ for $K=\left\{i_{1}<\cdots<i_{p}\right\}$ and $L=K \backslash\left\{i_{s}\right\}$ where $j_{K *}^{L}$ denotes the Gysin map corresponding to the inclusion $j_{K}^{L}: D_{K} \hookrightarrow D_{L}$. Due to the result of Deligne, this spectral sequence degenerates at $E_{2}$ and we obtain ${ }_{W} E_{2}^{p, q}=\operatorname{Gr}_{-p}^{W} H^{k}(U, \mathbb{C})$. For each subscheme $V^{\prime}$ on $D$, we shall define a $\mathbb{C}$-linear involution $w_{V^{\prime}}$ on $\mathrm{Gr}_{-p}^{W} H^{k}(U, \mathbb{C})$. If we have $V^{\prime} \not \subset D^{(-p)}$, put $w_{V^{\prime}}(c)=c$. Now, assume that $V^{\prime}$ is a subscheme on $D^{(-p)}$ and then there is a natural morphism

$$
\psi_{V^{\prime}}: H_{V^{\prime}}^{2 p+q}\left(D^{(-p)}, \mathbb{C}\right) \rightarrow H^{2 p+q}\left(D^{(-p)}, \mathbb{C}\right) \simeq{ }_{W} E_{1}^{p, q} \rightarrow{ }_{W} E_{1}^{p, q} / \operatorname{Im}\left({ }_{W} E_{1}^{p-1, q}\right)
$$

Let $\vec{r}=\left(r_{1}, \cdots, r_{l}\right)$ denote a basis of $\operatorname{Im}\left(\psi_{V^{\prime}}\right) \cap_{W} E_{2}^{p, q}$ over $\mathbb{C}$. Choose elements $\vec{s}=\left(s_{1}, \cdots, s_{m}\right)$ of ${ }_{W} E_{2}^{p, q}$ such that $\{\vec{r}, \vec{s}\}$ forms a basis of ${ }_{W} E_{2}^{p, q}$ over $\mathbb{C}$. Then, define an involution $w_{V^{\prime}, \vec{r}, \vec{s}}$ on $\mathrm{Gr}_{-p}^{W} H^{k}(U, \mathbb{C})$ by the formula

$$
w_{V^{\prime}, \vec{r}, \vec{s}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{l} a_{i} r_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{j} s_{j}\right)=-\sum_{i=1}^{l} a_{i} r_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{j} s_{j} \quad\left(a_{i}, b_{j} \in \mathbb{C}\right) .
$$

2.2.3. Category of generalized mixed Hodge structures. The results of preceding subsections lead to the following definition.

Definition 2.3. Let $U$ be a smooth and separated scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$ and $X$ be a smooth compactification of $U$ such that $D=X \backslash U$ is a globally normal crossing divisor. A generalized mixed Hodge structure consists of $\left(H_{\mathbb{Z}}, F^{\cdot}, W_{.},\left\{z_{V}\right\}_{V \subset U},\left\{w_{V^{\prime}}\right\}_{V^{\prime} \subset D}\right)$ where

- the triple $\left(H_{\mathbb{Z}}, F^{\cdot}, W.\right)$ is a mixed Hodge structure,
- $z_{V}$ denotes a $\mathbb{C}$-linear involution on $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ for each subscheme $V$ on $U$,
- $w_{V^{\prime}}$ denotes a $\mathbb{C}$-linear isomorphism of $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that the induced action on $\operatorname{Gr}_{m}^{W} H_{\mathbb{C}}$ is an involution for each subscheme $V^{\prime}$ on $D$.

Let GMHS denote the category of generalized mixed Hodge structures: its object is given by a generalized mixed Hodge structure and its morphism between $\left\{\left(H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{i}, F^{\cdot}, W .,\left\{z_{V_{i}}\right\}_{V_{i} \subset U_{i}},\left\{w_{V_{i}^{\prime}}\right\}_{V_{i}^{\prime} \subset D_{i}}\right)\right\}_{i=1,2}$ is given by the pair of a morphism of mixed Hodge structures $f: H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1} \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2}$ and a morphism of schemes $g: X_{2} \rightarrow$ $X_{1}$ where $X_{i}$ denotes a smooth compactification of $U_{i}$ such that we have $D_{i}=$ $X_{i} \backslash U_{i}$. Furthermore, assume that this pair of morphisms satisfies the compatible condition $f \circ x=y \circ f$ where

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
x=z_{V_{1}}, & y=z_{V_{2}} & \text { if } g\left(U_{2}-V_{2}\right) \subset U_{1}-V_{1} \text { and } g: V_{2} \simeq V_{1}, \\
x=z_{V_{1}}, & y=w_{V_{2}^{\prime}} & \text { if } g\left(D_{2}-V_{2}^{\prime}\right) \subset U_{1}-V_{1} \text { and } g: V_{2}^{\prime} \simeq V_{1}, \\
x=w_{V_{1}^{\prime}}, & y=z_{V_{2}} & \text { if } g\left(U_{2}-V_{2}\right) \subset D_{1}-V_{1}^{\prime} \text { and } g: V_{2} \simeq V_{1}^{\prime}, \\
x=w_{V_{1}^{\prime}}, & y=w_{V_{2}^{\prime}} & \text { if } g\left(D_{2}-V_{2}^{\prime}\right) \subset D_{1}-V_{1}^{\prime} \text { and } g: V_{2}^{\prime} \simeq V_{1}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right.
$$

One can verify that the category GMHS is an abelian category and that there is a forgetful functor $\mathcal{F}$ to the category MHS.

## 3. Extension groups Ext ${ }^{m}$

For a smooth projective scheme $X$ over $\mathbb{C}$, the conjectural filtration $\mathrm{F}_{M}$ on the Chow group $\mathrm{CH}^{r}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ should satisfy $\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathrm{F}_{M}}^{m} \mathrm{CH}^{r}(X, \mathbb{Q})=\operatorname{Ext}_{M}^{m}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H^{2 r-m}(X)(r)\right)$. Here, $M$ is the conjectural category of mixed motives over $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$. On the other hand, by the realization functor $M \rightarrow$ MHS, we should have

$$
\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathrm{F}_{M}}^{m} \mathrm{CH}^{r}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{MHS}}^{m}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H^{2 r-m}(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q}(r))\right) .
$$

From the right exactness of $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{MHS}}^{1}(\mathbb{Q},-)$, however, it follows that the higher extension $\operatorname{group} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{MHS}}^{m}(\mathbb{Q},-)$ for $2 \leq m$ always vanishes $[\mathrm{C}]$. In this section, we shall introduce the one dimensional vector space $\mathbb{Q}_{M}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ equipped with generalized mixed Hodge structures and shall construct an example which shows that $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{GMHS}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{M},-\right)$ does not vanish in general.
3.1. Definition of $\mathbb{Q}_{M}$. Let $U$ be a smooth and separated scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$ and $X$ be a smooth compactification of $U$ such that $D=X \backslash U$ is a globally normal crossing divisor. Define $S(U, D)$ to be the set of subschemes $\left(\{V\}_{V \subset U},\left\{V^{\prime}\right\}_{V^{\prime} \subset D}\right)$ where $V$ (resp. $V^{\prime}$ ) runs through any subscheme on $U$ (resp. D).

Definition 3.1. With notations as above, for a subset $M(U, D)$ of $S(U, D)$, let $\mathbb{Q}_{M(U, D)}$ denote the one dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{Q}$ equipped with the generalized mixed Hodge structure $\left(\mathbb{Q}, F^{*}, W\right.$., $\left.\left\{z_{V}\right\}_{V \subset U},\left\{w_{V^{\prime}}\right\}_{V^{\prime} \subset D}\right)$ where the mixed Hodge structure is trivial and involutions $\left(\left\{z_{V}\right\}_{V \subset U},\left\{w_{V^{\prime}}\right\}_{V^{\prime} \subset D}\right)$ act on $\mathbb{Q}_{M(U, D)}$ by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rc}
z_{V}(a)=-a \text { if } V \in M(U, D), & z_{V}(a)=a \text { if } V \notin M(U, D) \\
w_{V^{\prime}}(a)=-a \text { if } V^{\prime} \in M(U, D), & w_{V^{\prime}}(a)=a \text { if } V^{\prime} \notin M(U, D)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Example 3.2. Let $X$ be a smooth projective scheme over $\mathbb{C}$ and let $c l: \mathrm{CH}^{j}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ $\rightarrow H^{2 j}(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C})$ denote the cycle map. Then, the classical Hodge conjecture states that this cycle map has the image

$$
H^{j, j}(X) \cap H^{2 j}(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})=\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{HS}}^{0}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H^{2 j}(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})\right)
$$

Assume that the classical Hodge conjecture holds. Then, we can write $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{HS}}^{0}(\mathbb{Q}$, $\left.H^{2 j}(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})\right)$ in terms of generalized mixed Hodge structures

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{HS}}^{0}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H^{2 j}(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})\right)=\bigoplus_{M \subset S(X, \phi)} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{GMHS}}^{0}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{M}, H^{2 j}(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})\right)
$$

Proof. It suffices to show that we have LHS $\subset$ RHS. Note that the cohomology group $H^{2 j}(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$ is equipped with the involution $z_{V}$ for each subscheme $V$ on $X$ through $H_{V}^{2 j}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^{2 j}(X, \mathbb{Q})$. Take an element $f_{a}(: 1 \mapsto a)$ of $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{HS}}^{0}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H^{2 j}(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})\right)$. By the assumption, there is an element $\widetilde{a}$ of $\mathrm{CH}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ such that we have $f_{a}=\operatorname{cl}(\widetilde{a})$. We can write this cycle $\widetilde{a}$ as $\sum_{k=1}^{m} n_{k} \widetilde{a_{k}}\left(n_{k} \in \mathbb{Z}\right)$ where $\left\{\widetilde{a}_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{m}$ denote subschemes on $X$. Then, the element $f_{a}=\sum_{k=1}^{m} n_{k} c l\left(\widetilde{a}_{k}\right)$ is contained in $\bigoplus_{k=1}^{m}\left(\bigoplus_{M_{k}} \operatorname{Ext}{ }_{\text {GMHS }}^{0}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{M_{k}}, H^{2 j}(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})\right)\right)$ where $M_{k}$ runs through any set containing $\left(\left\{\widetilde{a}_{k}\right\},\{\phi\}\right)$ and thus we obtain LHS $\subset$ RHS.

Example 3.3. With notations as in the previous example, define $\mathrm{CH}^{j}(X, \mathbb{Q})_{\text {hom }}=$ $\left\{\alpha \in \mathrm{CH}^{j}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \mid c l(\alpha)=0\right\}$. Then, we have the Abel-Jacobi map

$$
c l^{\prime}: \mathrm{CH}^{j}(X, \mathbb{Q})_{\mathrm{hom}} \rightarrow \frac{H^{2 j-1}(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C})}{F^{j} H^{2 j-1}(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C}) \oplus H^{2 j-1}(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})}
$$

One can see that the target of this map is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{MHS}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, H^{2 j-1}(X, \mathbb{Q})\right)$. First, we shall review the construction of the extension class given by the AbelJacobi map. Let $v$ denote an element of $\mathrm{CH}^{j}(X, \mathbb{Q})_{\text {hom }}$ and $V$ be the support of
$v$. Put $U=X \backslash V$. Then, there exists a commutative diagram

where $h_{v}$ denotes the map $\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow H_{V}^{2 j}(X, \mathbb{Q}): 1 \mapsto v$ and the bottom exact sequence is obtained by pull-back via $h_{v}$. We can verify that the extension class $E$ of this exact sequence is the image of $v$ under the Abel-Jacobi map ([J1], 9.4). Now, let us see the bottom exact sequence of the diagram above in terms of generalized mixed Hodge structures. We can write the cycle $v$ as $\sum_{k=1}^{m} n_{k} v_{k}$ $\left(n_{k} \in \mathbb{Z}\right)$ where $\left\{v_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{m}$ denote subschemes on $X$. We will denote $\mathbb{Q}$ in the diagram above by $\mathbb{Q}_{v}$ and fix a basis $1_{v}$ of $\mathbb{Q}_{v}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Since the involution $v \mapsto-v$ on $H_{V}^{2 j}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ should correspond to the involution $f_{v}: 1_{v} \mapsto-1_{v}$, it is natural to think that $\mathbb{Q}_{v}$ is contained in $\mathbb{Q}_{N}=\bigoplus_{k=1}^{m}\left(\bigoplus_{M_{k}} \mathbb{Q}_{M_{k}}\right)$ where $M_{k}$ runs through any set of $S(X, \phi)$ containing $\left(\left\{v_{k}\right\},\{\phi\}\right)$. Since the extension class $E$ is the image of $v$ under the Abel-Jacobi map, it is compatible with the action of $f_{v}$ induced by $z$-structures on $\mathbb{Q}_{N}$. Furthermore, $E$ is clearly compatible with $z$-structures on $H^{2 j-1}(X, \mathbb{Q})$. Thus, we can regard the extension class $E$ as an element of $\bigoplus_{M \subset S(X, \phi)} \operatorname{Ext}_{G M H S}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{M}, H^{2 j-1}(X, \mathbb{Q})\right)$.
3.2. Non-vanishing of $\operatorname{Ext}_{\text {GMHS }}^{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{M},-\right)$. In this section, we shall see that the higher extension group $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{GMHS}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{M},-\right)$ does not vanish in general. First, we shall recall the Yoneda extension class. Let $A$ denote an abelian category. For objects $M$ and $N$ of $A$, an element $C(E)$ of $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{n}(M, N)$ (called the Yoneda extension class) is given by an exact sequence

$$
E: 0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow R_{n} \rightarrow R_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow R_{1} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0
$$

Let $E^{\prime}: 0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow R_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow R_{n-1}^{\prime} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow R_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ be another extension. Then, we have $C(E)=C\left(E^{\prime}\right)$ if and only if there exists an extension $E^{\prime \prime}: 0 \rightarrow$ $N \rightarrow R_{n}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow R_{n-1}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow R_{1}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ such that we have the following commutative diagram


Example 3.4. We shall construct an example which shows that $\operatorname{Ext}_{\text {GMHS }}^{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{M},-\right)$ does not vanish. Let us consider the following exact sequence in the category of generalized mixed Hodge structures

$$
E: 0 \rightarrow S \xrightarrow{i} T \xrightarrow{j} V \xrightarrow{k} \mathbb{Q}_{M} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Here,

- $S$ is a 2-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{Q}$ equipped with the Hodge structure of pure weight -1 . For a smooth projective curve $X$ over $\mathbb{C}$, assume that $S$ is endowed with the trivial $z$-structure on $X$ and the trivial $w$ structure on $\phi(=X \backslash X)$.
- $T$ is a 3 -dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{Q}$ equipped with the mixed Hodge structure such that $\operatorname{Gr}_{0}^{W}(T)$ has the Hodge structure of pure weight -1 and $\operatorname{Gr}_{1}^{W}(T)$ has the Hodge structure of pure weight 0 . For two points $\left\{D_{i}\right\}_{i=1,2}$ on $X$, assume that $T$ is equipped with the trivial $z$-structure on $U=X \backslash\left\{D_{i}\right\}_{i=1,2}$ and with the $w$-structure on $\left\{D_{i}\right\}_{i=1,2}$. Here, the action of $\left\{w_{D_{i}}\right\}_{i=1,2}$ on a basis $\left\{e, s_{1}, s_{2}\right\}$ of $T$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ is given by

$$
w_{D_{i}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
e \\
s_{1} \\
s_{2}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
e \\
s_{1} \\
s_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}\right\}$ denotes a basis of $i(S)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Note that these actions induce (trivial) involutions on $\operatorname{Gr}_{i}^{W}(T)(i=0,1)$.

- $V$ is a 2-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{Q}$ equipped with the Hodge structure of pure weight 0 . Assume that $V$ is equipped with the trivial $w$ structure on $\phi$ and with the $z$-structure on $\left\{D_{i}\right\}_{i=1,2}$ such that the action of $\left\{z_{D_{i}}\right\}_{i=1,2}$ on a basis $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ of $V$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ is given by

$$
z_{D_{i}}\binom{\alpha}{\beta}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
c\left(D_{i}\right) & -1
\end{array}\right)\binom{\alpha}{\beta}
$$

where $\left\{c\left(D_{i}\right)\right\}_{i=1,2}$ satisfy $c\left(D_{1}\right) \neq c\left(D_{2}\right)$. For a subscheme $D^{\prime}$ on $X$ other than $\left\{D_{i}\right\}_{i=1,2}$, assume that the action of $z_{D^{\prime}}$ on $V$ is trivial. Then, we can consider that $V$ is also equipped with the $z$-structure on $X$ and the trivial $w$-structure on $\phi$.

- $M=\left(\left\{D_{i}\right\}_{i=1,2},\{\phi\}\right) \subset S(X, \phi)$, that is, $\mathbb{Q}_{M}$ is endowed with the nontrivial action of $z_{D_{i}}$ and the trivial $w$-structure on $\phi$.

On the other hand, one can verify that the exact sequence $E^{\prime}: 0 \rightarrow S \rightarrow S \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{Q}_{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{M} \rightarrow 0$ in GMHS gives a trivial Yoneda extension class. Thus, it suffices to show that we have $C(E) \neq C\left(E^{\prime}\right)$ in $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{GMHS}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{M}, S\right)$. Assume that there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow S \rightarrow T^{\prime} \rightarrow V^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{M} \rightarrow 0$ in GMHS such that we
have the following commutative diagram


First, note that, since the morphism $T^{\prime} \xrightarrow{f^{\prime}} S$ has a section, it induces the splitting $T^{\prime}=S \oplus T^{\prime \prime}=S \oplus \operatorname{Ker}\left(f^{\prime}\right)$ of generalized mixed Hodge structures. Now, we shall fix some notations. Let $\left\{c_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n}$ denote a basis of $T^{\prime \prime}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ and put

$$
f_{\mid T^{\prime \prime}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
c_{1}  \tag{3.2}\\
\vdots \\
c_{n}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
p_{1} & t_{1} & u_{1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
p_{n} & t_{n} & u_{n}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
e \\
s_{1} \\
s_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\left\{p_{j}, t_{j}, u_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n}$ denotes elements of $\mathbb{Q}$. Then, it follows that $h\left(T^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is a $n$ dimensional subvector space of $V^{\prime}$ spanned by the image $\left\{d_{j}=h\left(c_{j}\right)\right\}_{j=1}^{n}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Thus, if we choose an element $v$ of $V^{\prime} \backslash h\left(T^{\prime \prime}\right)$, the elements $\left\{v, d_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n}$ form a basis of $V^{\prime}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Define

$$
g(v)=p \alpha+q \beta \quad \text { and } \quad g\left(d_{j}\right)=p_{j}^{\prime} \alpha+q_{j}^{\prime} \beta .
$$

Then, since we have $k \circ g=h^{\prime}$ by the commutative diagram, we obtain $q \neq 0$. Furthermore, since we also have $j \circ f=g \circ h$ by the commutative diagram and the action of $\left\{z_{D_{i}}\right\}_{i=1,2}$ on the image of $j$ in $V$ is given by 1 , it follows that we have $p_{j}^{\prime}=p_{j}$ and $q_{j}^{\prime}=0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$. Thus, we can write

$$
g\left(\begin{array}{c}
v  \tag{3.3}\\
d_{1} \\
\vdots \\
d_{n}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p & q \\
p_{1} & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
p_{n} & 0
\end{array}\right)\binom{\alpha}{\beta} \quad(q \neq 0) .
$$

We shall show that we have $p_{j}=0(1 \leq j \leq n)$. For simplicity, assume that the weight filtration $W_{j} T^{\prime \prime}$ (resp. $W_{j+1} T^{\prime \prime}$ ) of $T^{\prime \prime}$ is spanned by $\left\{c_{l+1}, \cdots, c_{n}\right\}$ (resp. $\left\{c_{k}, \cdots, c_{n}\right\}$ ) and that the quotient $\operatorname{Gr}_{j+1}^{W} T^{\prime \prime}$ has the Hodge structure of pure weight 0 . Then, by the argument of weights, it follows that we have

$$
p_{j}=0 \quad(1 \leq j \leq k-1 \text { and } l+1 \leq j \leq n) .
$$

In particular, it follows from (3.2) that the image of $W_{j} T^{\prime \prime}$ under $f$ is contained in $i(S)$. By the commutative diagram, this means that we have $f_{\mid W_{j} T^{\prime \prime}}=0$. Thus, we obtain

$$
t_{j}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad u_{j}=0 \quad(l+1 \leq j \leq n) .
$$

On the other hand, since $T^{\prime \prime}$ is an object of GMHS, there exist actions $\left\{x_{D_{i}}\right\}_{i=1,2}$ on $T^{\prime \prime}$ which are compatible with the actions of $\left\{w_{D_{i}}\right\}_{i=1,2}$ on $T$, that is, these satisfy $w_{D_{i}} f=f x_{D_{i}}$. Note that these actions induce involutions on $\operatorname{Gr}_{j+1}^{W} T^{\prime \prime}$
by definition. Let $\vec{c}$ be the column vector ${ }^{t}\left(c_{k}, \cdots, c_{n}\right)$ and put $x_{D_{i}}(\vec{c})=R_{i} \vec{c}$. Furthermore, $R_{i}^{\prime}$ denotes the submatrix of $R_{i}$ which represents the residual action of $x_{D_{i}}$ modulo $W_{j} T^{\prime \prime}$. Since we have $f x_{D_{i}}(\vec{c})=w_{D_{i}} f(\vec{c})$ and $p_{j}=t_{j}=u_{j}=0$ $(l+1 \leq j \leq n)$, it follows that we obtain

$$
R_{i}^{\prime}\left(\begin{array}{c}
p_{k} e+t_{k} s_{1}+u_{k} s_{2} \\
\vdots \\
p_{l} e+t_{l} s_{1}+u_{l} s_{2}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
p_{k} e+\left(-p_{k}+t_{k}\right) s_{1}+u_{k} s_{2} \\
\vdots \\
p_{l} e+\left(-p_{l}+t_{l}\right) s_{1}+u_{l} s_{2}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

If we put $\vec{p}={ }^{t}\left(p_{k}, \cdots, p_{l}\right)$ and $\vec{t}={ }^{t}\left(t_{k}, \cdots, t_{l}\right)$, this leads to $\left(R_{i}^{\prime}-E\right) \vec{p}=0$ and $\left(R_{i}^{\prime}-E\right) \vec{t}=-\vec{p}$. Since $R_{i}^{\prime}$ denotes the matrix of the involution $x_{D_{i}}$ on $\mathrm{Gr}_{j+1}^{W} T^{\prime \prime}$, we have $\left(R_{i}^{\prime}+E\right)\left(R_{i}^{\prime}-E\right)=0$ and thus $\left(R_{i}^{\prime}+E\right) \vec{p}=-\left(R_{i}^{\prime}+E\right)\left(R_{i}^{\prime}-E\right) \vec{t}=0$. Therefore, it follows that $\vec{p}$ is the zero-vector and that we obtain

$$
p_{j}=0 \quad(1 \leq j \leq n) .
$$

Since $V^{\prime}$ is also an object of GMHS, there exist actions $\left\{y_{D_{i}}\right\}_{i=1,2}$ on $V^{\prime}$ which are compatible with the actions of $\left\{z_{D_{i}}\right\}_{i=1,2}$ on $V$, that is, these satisfy $z_{D_{i}} g=g y_{D_{i}}$. Put $y_{D_{i}}(v)=a_{0} v+\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} d_{j}$. Since we have the formula (3.3) and $p_{j}=0$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$, it follows that we obtain $g y_{D_{i}}(v)=a_{0}(p \alpha+q \beta)$. On the other hand, since we have $z_{D_{i}} g(v)=z_{D_{i}}(p \alpha+q \beta)=p \alpha+q\left(c\left(D_{i}\right) \alpha-\beta\right)$, the compatibility leads to

$$
a_{0}=-1 \quad \text { and } \quad c\left(D_{i}\right)=-\frac{2 p}{q} \quad(i=1,2) .
$$

Here, note that we have $q \neq 0$ by (3.3). This means that $c\left(D_{i}\right)$ does not depend on $D_{i}$ and that this contradicts the assumption $c\left(D_{1}\right) \neq c\left(D_{2}\right)$. Thus, the Yoneda extension class $C(E)$ given by $E$ is non-trivial in $\operatorname{Ext}_{\text {GMHS }}^{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{M}, S\right)$.
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